

## Effects of repeated administration of selective adenosine $A_1$ and $A_{2A}$ receptor agonists on pentylenetetrazole-induced convulsions in the rat

Marina Adami <sup>\*</sup>, Rosalia Bertorelli, Nives Ferri, M. Cristina Foddi <sup>1</sup>, Ennio Ongini

*Research Laboratories, Schering-Plough, Comazzo, Milan, Italy*

Received 29 June 1995; revised 28 August 1995; accepted 29 August 1995

---

### Abstract

The protective effects of the selective adenosine  $A_1$  receptor agonist, 2-chloro- $N^6$ -cyclopentyladenosine (CCPA), the selective adenosine  $A_{2A}$  receptor agonist, 2-hexynyl-5'- $N$ -ethylcarboxamidoadenosine (2HE-NECA), and the non-selective agonist, 5'- $N$ -ethylcarboxamidoadenosine (NECA) were studied against lethal seizures induced by intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of pentylenetetrazole (80 mg/kg). In acute studies there was a dose-dependent reduction of lethal seizures, as shown by the low dose's protecting 50% of animals ( $PD_{50}$ ): 0.11, 0.05 and 0.05 mg/kg i.p. for CCPA, 2HE-NECA and NECA, respectively. In the repeated administration studies the animals received either vehicle or drug i.p. twice daily for 12 days. The drug doses were twice the  $PD_{50}$  value: 0.3 mg/kg for CCPA or 0.1 mg/kg for both 2HE-NECA and NECA. 2HE-NECA and NECA maintained their protective activity against pentylenetetrazole-induced seizures (63% or 60% vs. 60% or 58% in acute studies, respectively). Conversely, repeated treatment with CCPA resulted in a marked decrease of its effects (67% vs. 30% in acute studies;  $P < 0.05$ ). The data indicate that in addition to adenosine  $A_1$  the  $A_{2A}$  receptors also appear to be involved in the protection from seizures. The anticonvulsant effects induced by repeated stimulation of adenosine  $A_1$  receptors are subject to tolerance, whereas effects depending on adenosine  $A_{2A}$  receptor activation are maintained.

**Keywords:** Adenosine receptor; Adenosine  $A_1$  receptor agonist; Adenosine  $A_{2A}$  receptor agonist; Seizure; Pentylenetetrazole; (Rat)

### 1. Introduction

In the mammalian brain, endogenous adenosine acts as a neuromodulator which exerts sedative, anxiolytic and anticonvulsant activities (Dunwiddie, 1985). The actions of adenosine on the central nervous system (CNS) are mediated through interactions mainly with adenosine  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  receptor subtypes, the latter being subdivided into  $A_{2A}$  and  $A_{2B}$  (Fredholm et al., 1994). All these receptors have recently been cloned (Linden et al., 1993), even though they had already been classified on the basis of physiological and pharmacological criteria (Fredholm et al., 1994). A new member of the adenosine receptor family, the  $A_3$  re-

ceptor, also has been cloned (Zhou et al., 1992). Selective agonists for the adenosine  $A_1$  receptor (Williams et al., 1986; Monopoli et al., 1994a) and the adenosine  $A_{2A}$  receptor subtypes (Hutchison et al., 1989; Monopoli et al., 1994b) are useful pharmacological tools for exploring the function of each specific receptor.

Consistent with its role as 'retaliatory metabolite' (Newby, 1984), there is evidence that adenosine, whose brain levels increase dramatically within seconds of seizure onset (Winn et al., 1979), may act as an endogenous anticonvulsant (Dragunow et al., 1985; Berman et al., 1990). In fact, adenosine has been reported to have an inhibitory tone on synaptic transmission in that it reduces  $Ca^{2+}$  influx and opens pre-synaptic potassium channels (Ribeiro, 1991). It also limits excitation of the effector cells by hyperpolarizing postsynaptic membranes (Dunwiddie, 1985). Furthermore, there is evidence that activation of the adenosine  $A_1$  receptor inhibits the release of excitatory amino acids (Corradetti et al., 1984), which are mainly in-

---

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Schering-Plough S.p.A., Research Laboratories, I-20060 Comazzo MI, Italy. Tel.: 39-2-90697643; fax: 39-2-9061145.

<sup>1</sup> Present address: 'Mario Negri' Institute for Pharmacological Research, Milan, Italy.

volved in the generation of excitotoxic damage in ischemia and epilepsy (Dragunow, 1991; Rudolphi et al., 1992). All these actions may be responsible for the anticonvulsant effects produced by adenosine  $A_1$  receptor activation in a variety of experimental models of epilepsy. The anticonvulsant effects of adenosine  $A_1$  receptor agonists have been well documented against either electrically (Dragunow et al., 1985; Berman et al., 1990) or chemically induced seizures, using the chloride channel blocker, pentylenetetrazole (Dunwiddie and Worth, 1982; Murray et al., 1985; Concas et al., 1993), or the specific  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid<sub>A</sub> (GABA<sub>A</sub>) receptor antagonist, bicuculline (Concas et al., 1993). Conversely, the involvement of adenosine  $A_{2A}$  receptors in the protective mechanisms of adenosine is still to be explored.

Although the protective effects of acute administration of adenosine  $A_1$  receptor agonists in experimental models of seizures have been well characterized, only a few studies have assessed the neuropharmacological effects of adenosine analogs following chronic administration, as in the treatment of epileptic diseases. This is particularly important in view of recent findings showing that prolonged exposure of adenosine receptors to  $A_1$  agonists leads to rapid receptor desensitization (Abbracchio et al., 1993) and tolerance to anticonvulsant (Von Lubitz et al., 1994) or hypotensive actions (Casati et al., 1994). The aim of the present study was to evaluate the central effects of repeated administration of selective adenosine agonists which we have previously characterized in *in vivo* and *in vitro* models: 2-chloro- $N^6$ -cyclopentyladenosine (CCPA), the adenosine  $A_1$  receptor agonist with high potency and selectivity for  $A_1$  receptors (Lohse et al., 1988; Monopoli et al., 1994a) and 2-hexynyl-5'- $N$ -ethylcarboxamido-adenosine (2HE-NECA), the potent adenosine  $A_{2A}$  receptor agonist with good  $A_{2A}$  vs.  $A_1$  receptor selectivity (Cristalli et al., 1992; Monopoli et al., 1994b). 5'- $N$ -Ethylcarboxamidoadenosine (NECA), the non-selective adenosine agonist (Bruns et al., 1986), was also used for comparison. Firstly, we studied the dose-dependent protection of a single administration of these adenosine agonists against lethal seizures induced by pentylenetetrazole in the rat. We then investigated the effects induced by repeated treatment with these agonists. The effects on adenosine  $A_1$  and  $A_{2A}$  receptor binding in brain tissues were also evaluated.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Animals

Male Sprague Dawley rats (175–200 g), supplied by Charles River (Calco, Italy), were used. The animals were allowed to settle under standard conditions with

free access to food and water for one week before the start of the experiment.

### 2.2. Dose-response studies

The convulsant agent, pentylenetetrazole, was given intraperitoneally (i.p.) at the dose of 80 mg/kg 1 h after either drug or vehicle administration. After pentylenetetrazole injection, the rats were observed for 2 h in order to assess the incidence of clonic/tonic seizures and lethality. The efficacy of adenosine derivatives was determined as their PD<sub>50</sub> value, the dose which protected 50% of the animals against pentylenetetrazole-induced lethal seizures. CCPA (0.03, 0.1, 0.3 and 1 mg/kg i.p.), 2HE-NECA (0.003, 0.01, 0.03 and 0.1 mg/kg i.p.) or NECA (0.01, 0.03, 0.1, 0.3 and 1 mg/kg i.p.) were administered to 9–16 rats for each dose group.

### 2.3. Repeated drug treatment

Two treatment schedules were used for each drug with a dose about 2-fold the PD<sub>50</sub> value, calculated from the dose-response studies. In the first treatment regimen, 20–30 rats for each group received CCPA (0.3 mg/kg), 2HE-NECA (0.1 mg/kg) or NECA (0.1 mg/kg) twice daily for 12 days. For comparison, the effects of single drug administration (acute) were assessed in groups of animals that had received repeated treatment with vehicle for the entire length of the experiment (twice daily for 12 days). The last treatment was a single dose of CCPA (0.3 mg/kg), 2HE-NECA (0.1 mg/kg) or NECA (0.1 mg/kg). One hour later, the animals were challenged with pentylenetetrazole (80 mg/kg) and observed for 2 h. Latency of the onset of seizures and incidence of lethality were recorded.

### 2.4. Receptor binding assay

Adenosine  $A_1$  and  $A_{2A}$  receptor binding assays were performed on cerebral cortex and striatum respectively, obtained from animals that had received repeated drug administration. After a 36-h washout period, the rats were decapitated and tissues were pooled and disrupted in a Polytron PTA 10 probe (setting 5.30 s) in 25 vols. of 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.4. The homogenates were centrifuged twice at 40 000 and once at 48 000  $\times g$ , respectively, for 10 min at 4°C and resuspended in Tris-HCl containing 2 units/ml adenosine deaminase. After 30-min incubation at 37°C, the membranes were centrifuged, and the pellet was stored at –70°C.

Adenosine  $A_1$  and  $A_{2A}$  receptor binding assays were performed according to Bruns et al. (1980) and Jarvis et al. (1989) using [<sup>3</sup>H] $N^6$ -cyclohexyladenosine and [<sup>3</sup>H]2-[4-(2-carboxyethyl)phenethylamino]-5'- $N$ -ethyl-

Table 1

Protective effects of the adenosine agonists, CCPA, 2HE-NECA and NECA, against pentylentetrazole-induced lethal seizures in the rat

Drug	Adenosine receptor subtype	Dose range (mg/kg i.p.)	PD <sub>50</sub> (mg/kg i.p.) (95% confidence limits)
CCPA	A <sub>1</sub>	0.03–1	0.11 (0.03–0.25)
2HE-NECA	A <sub>2A</sub>	0.003–0.1	0.05 (0.02–0.26)
NECA	A <sub>1</sub> /A <sub>2A</sub>	0.01–1	0.05 (0.02–0.10)

Pentylentetrazole (80 mg/kg i.p.) was injected 1 h after drug administration. The PD<sub>50</sub> values with 95% confidence limits were determined by PROBIT analysis. Number of animals for each dose group was 9–16.

carboxamidoadenosine (CGS 21680) as radioligands, respectively. Non-specific binding at adenosine A<sub>1</sub> and A<sub>2A</sub> receptors was defined in the presence of cyclohexyladenosine (10  $\mu$ M) and NECA (100  $\mu$ M), respectively, and was  $\leq$  10% of total binding. Radioactivity was determined using a LS-6000 Beckman liquid scintillation counter (Beckman Instruments, Fullerton, CA, USA) at an efficiency of 50–60%. Protein concentration was determined by the method of Lowry et al. (1951) using bovine serum albumin as standard. Saturation studies at A<sub>1</sub> cortical receptors were carried out by incubating membranes with 12 different concentrations of [<sup>3</sup>H]cyclohexyladenosine ranging from 0.125 to 128 nM. At adenosine A<sub>2A</sub> striatal receptors, 'mixed curves' (Rovati et al., 1991) with [<sup>3</sup>H]CGS 21680 were performed by using five radioligand concentrations ranging between 1 and 16 nM for the 'saturation' part of the curve, and four concentrations of cold ligand (32–128 nM) against 16 nM [<sup>3</sup>H]CGS 21680 for the 'displacement' part of the curve. The total number of receptor sites ( $B_{\max}$ ) and the dissociation constant ( $K_d$ ) values were determined from Scatchard analysis of saturation binding experiments.

## 2.5. Statistical analysis

In dose-response studies PD<sub>50</sub> values with 95% confidence limits were determined by PROBIT analysis (Finney, 1971). In chronic studies, statistical differences in seizure latencies were tested using Dunnett's *t*-test. Comparisons between the incidence of lethality in single-treated or chronic-treated groups and control groups were performed using Fisher's exact test. In binding studies, differences in  $K_d$  and  $B_{\max}$  between treated and control groups were evaluated according to Dunnett's *t*-test. All computations were performed by means of the SAS PROC GLM program (SAS Institute, 1987).

## 2.6. Drugs

CCPA and NECA were purchased from Research Biochemicals (Natick, MA, USA). 2HE-NECA was synthesized at the Department of Chemical Science of the University of Camerino, Italy. The drugs were administered i.p. (3 ml/kg) as aqueous suspensions obtained by adding a few drops of Tween 80 (ICN Biochemical, Milan, Italy). Pentylentetrazole was purchased from Aldrich Chimica (Milan, Italy).

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Dose-response studies

Pentylentetrazole-induced seizures were characterized by tremors and Straub tail, followed by myoclonic jerks of the limbs, leading to full generalized clonic/tonic seizures. Generally, death occurred within a few minutes of the onset of clonic/tonic seizures or, in any case, within 1 h. CCPA, 2HE-NECA and NECA showed protection against pentylentetrazole-induced

Table 2

Effects of acute or chronic administration of CCPA (0.3 mg/kg), 2HE-NECA (0.1 mg/kg) and NECA (0.1 mg/kg) on pentylentetrazole-induced lethal seizures in rats

Treatment	Seizure latency (s)	Clonic/tonic seizures	Lethality	Protection from lethality
Vehicle	69 $\pm$ 7	27/30	25/27	7%
CCPA acute	104 $\pm$ 10 <sup>a</sup>	18/20	6/18 <sup>c</sup>	67%
CCPA chronic	85 $\pm$ 9	20/22	14/20 <sup>d</sup>	30%
2HE-NECA acute	122 $\pm$ 12 <sup>b</sup>	30/30	11/30 <sup>c</sup>	63%
2HE-NECA chronic	103 $\pm$ 12	30/30	12/30 <sup>c</sup>	60%
NECA acute	113 $\pm$ 24	20/20	8/20 <sup>c</sup>	60%
NECA chronic	170 $\pm$ 26 <sup>b</sup>	21/22	10/21 <sup>c</sup>	58%

The animals received either vehicle or drug i.p. twice daily for 12 days. Pentylentetrazole (80 mg/kg i.p.) was administered 1 h after the last drug treatment. To study the effects of single administration (acute) drugs were injected on day 12, 1 h before pentylentetrazole. Lethality was estimated as the ratio between number of dead animals and number of animals showing convulsions. Statistical comparison of data was performed with Dunnett's *t*-test: <sup>a</sup>  $P < 0.05$ , <sup>b</sup>  $P < 0.01$  vs. vehicle. Fisher's exact test: <sup>c</sup>  $P < 0.01$  vs. vehicle, <sup>d</sup>  $P < 0.05$  vs. acute treatment.

lethality, being effective over a low dose range (Table 1). At a dose 2-fold the  $PD_{50}$  value of each drug, both CCPA and 2HE-NECA significantly delayed the seizure latency ( $104 \pm 10$  or  $122 \pm 12$  vs.  $69 \pm 7$  s of vehicle,  $P < 0.05$  and  $P < 0.01$ , respectively), whereas the effect of NECA was not significant (Table 2). However, none of the drugs reduced frequency or severity of seizures.

### 3.2. Repeated drug treatment

A dose twice the  $PD_{50}$  value, calculated for each drug, was used for the repeated-dose regimen studies. The results obtained from the two administration schedules, i.e. acute and chronic drug administration, are summarized in Table 2. Independently of the drug treatment regimen, at least 90% of animals in each group showed clonic/tonic seizures following pentylenetetrazole injection. The acute treatment with each compound produced significant protection against pentylenetetrazole-induced lethal seizures ( $P < 0.01$ ). This protective activity was also observed following repeated administration of either 2HE-NECA or NECA. Seizure latency remained elevated after repeated treatment with 2HE-NECA even though the effect was not significant, whereas NECA significantly delayed the onset of seizures in the repeated-dose regimen ( $P < 0.01$ ). Conversely, the adenosine  $A_1$ -selective agonist, CCPA, lost its protective effects against seizures ( $P < 0.05$  vs. acute treatment). CCPA, which delayed seizure onset after single administration, was unable to increase seizure latency following the 12-day dose regimen.

### 3.3. Receptor binding studies

Repeated treatment with CCPA, 2HE-NECA and NECA did not affect either adenosine  $A_1$  or  $A_{2A}$  receptor binding parameters in rat cerebral cortices and striata, respectively (Table 3). Scatchard plot analysis of [ $^3H$ ]cyclohexyladenosine and [ $^3H$ ]CGS 21680 showed that none of these adenosine compounds changed either  $B_{max}$  or  $K_d$  of radioligands.

## 4. Discussion

Adenosine is thought to play an important role in neuronal functioning by interacting with specific adenosine receptors (Dunwiddie, 1985). Activation of these receptors has an important adaptive function in states of neuronal hyperactivity such as epileptic seizures (Dragunow, 1991). In addition, adenosine modulates the activity of ion fluxes and neurotransmitters at both presynaptic and postsynaptic sites (Ribeiro, 1991). Together with an increase in local vascular supply, these effects tend to minimize any cellular damage potentially resulting from metabolic stress (Williams, 1987).

In agreement with these findings, our results indicate that the selective adenosine  $A_1$  receptor agonist, CCPA, the selective  $A_{2A}$  receptor agonist, 2HE-NECA, and the non-selective  $A_1/A_{2A}$  receptor agonist, NECA, all produce dose-dependent protection against pentylenetetrazole-induced lethality. These data suggest that adenosine  $A_1$  and  $A_{2A}$  receptors are both involved in the protective mechanisms of adenosine.

Our findings with CCPA are also consistent with data showing the anticonvulsant action of adenosine  $A_1$  receptor stimulation (Dunwiddie and Worth, 1982; Dragunow et al., 1985; Von Lubitz et al., 1993). Specifically, it was demonstrated that the  $A_1$  agonist, L-phenylisopropyl adenosine (L-PIA), gives only a relatively low percentage of protection (35%) against pentylenetetrazole-induced lethality in mice, when compared with the effect of diazepam (100%) (Dunwiddie and Worth, 1982). More recently, Concas et al. (1993) reported that, in spite of its negative modulation of GABA $_A$  receptor function, CCPA (1 mg/kg i.p.) markedly antagonizes convulsions induced by a sublethal dose of pentylenetetrazole (55 mg/kg) in mice. In our studies, CCPA exerted a protective action against pentylenetetrazole-induced lethal seizures at a low dose range, the  $PD_{50}$  value being 0.11 mg/kg i.p. However, given at 0.3 mg/kg, CCPA protected only 67% of the animals. Using different convulsant agents, Klitgaard et al. (1993) as well as Dunwiddie and Worth (1982) found that adenosine analogs have low efficacy

Table 3

Effects of chronic treatment with CCPA, 2HE-NECA and NECA on receptor density ( $B_{max}$ ) and dissociation constant ( $K_d$ ) of  $A_1$  and  $A_{2A}$  adenosine receptors

Treatment	$A_1$		$A_{2A}$	
	$B_{max}$ (fmol/mg protein)	$K_d$ (nM)	$B_{max}$ (fmol/mg protein)	$K_d$ (nM)
Vehicle	$468 \pm 18$	$1.15 \pm 0.05$	$1281 \pm 183$	$26 \pm 4.8$
CCPA	$467 \pm 18$	$1.23 \pm 0.06$	$1228 \pm 184$	$24 \pm 2.6$
2HE-NECA	$510 \pm 15$	$1.31 \pm 0.16$	$1328 \pm 114$	$24 \pm 3.5$
NECA	$489 \pm 13$	$1.20 \pm 0.11$	$1239 \pm 120$	$26 \pm 3.8$

Values are means  $\pm$  S.E. of 4 experiments. There is no statistically significant difference either in  $B_{max}$  or  $K_d$  values. Dunnett's *t*-test.

against seizures induced by pentylentetrazole, even though this animal model is widely used for testing new anticonvulsant agents (Swinyard et al., 1986). This may well depend upon the mechanism by which stimulation of adenosine  $A_1$  receptors ultimately changes the neurotransmission mediated by GABA or excitatory amino acids, whose net effects appear to be influenced by adenosine (Williams, 1987). The mechanism underlying the anticonvulsant activity of adenosine  $A_1$  receptor agonists still needs to be clarified.

An important result of the present study is that 2HE-NECA, a compound interacting selectively with the adenosine  $A_{2A}$  receptor, is protective against seizures induced by pentylentetrazole. In addition, 2HE-NECA and the non-selective agonist, NECA, seem to be more potent than the adenosine  $A_1$ -selective agonist, CCPA, as shown by their lower  $PD_{50}$  values. To our knowledge, this is the first evidence for the clear action of adenosine  $A_{2A}$  receptor activation in protecting animals from seizures. There are indeed few data on the involvement of the adenosine  $A_{2A}$  receptor in the anticonvulsant activity of adenosine. Janusz and Berman (1993) observed that cerebral infusion of the adenosine  $A_{2A}$  receptor agonist, CGS 21680, does not affect behavioral seizure stage or seizure threshold in kindled rats but they also observed that simultaneous activation of adenosine  $A_1$  and  $A_{2A}$  receptors leads to the potentiation of the anticonvulsant effects of adenosine itself. The concomitant activation of both adenosine receptors may also account for the higher potency of NECA, the non-selective  $A_1/A_{2A}$  receptor agonist, to protect against pentylentetrazole-induced lethal seizures as compared to the selective  $A_1$  receptor agonist CCPA. Probably, the additional effects induced by adenosine  $A_{2A}$  receptor activation during the critical postictal period may contribute significantly to minimizing cell damage by increasing blood flow and reducing free radical formation (Fredholm et al., 1993). However, the exact role of adenosine in the regulation of cerebral blood flow (CBF) is still to be clarified, since there is evidence for either positive (Van Wylen et al., 1989) or negative (McBean et al., 1989) modulation of CBF by adenosine. Moreover, Rosen and Berman (1985) have demonstrated that the effects of adenosine analogs on postictal events in amygdala-kindled rats are not related to their hypotensive activity, since hydralazine, a drug which decreases blood pressure through a direct dilator action on vascular smooth muscle, does not affect ictal or postictal events. In view of these findings it seems that the protection exerted by the adenosine  $A_{2A}$  receptor agonist, 2HE-NECA, against postictal lethality may well be independent from its hemodynamic effects, even though further investigation is needed.

Recent work has demonstrated that 2HE-NECA

also interacts with  $A_3$  receptors, displaying a  $K_i$  value of 26 nM (Siddiqi et al., 1995) versus 2.2 nM at  $A_{2A}$  receptors (Monopoli et al., 1994b). This result is particularly interesting considering the recent observation by Von Lubitz et al. (1995) that the adenosine  $A_3$ -selective agonist,  $N^6$ -(3-iodobenzyl) adenosine-5'- $N$ -methylcarboxamide (IB-MECA), provides significant protection under both acute and chronic conditions in the model of pentylentetrazole-induced seizures. Although full characterization of the physiological functions of the recently discovered adenosine  $A_3$  receptors is still to be made, it appears that  $A_3$  receptor activation may be partially responsible for the protective effects of 2HE-NECA observed under our conditions.

The controversy concerning the actual site of action of adenosine agonists has not yet been resolved. Although the systemic administration of stable adenosine analogs has been widely proved to induce behavioral changes (Dunwiddie and Worth, 1982; Durcan and Morgan, 1989) and anticonvulsant effects (Dragunow et al., 1985; Berman et al., 1990; Concas et al., 1993), it is unclear to what extent they pass through the blood-brain barrier and act directly on central neurons: evidence for (Rosen and Berman, 1985; Durcan and Morgan, 1989) and against (Brodie et al., 1987) has been offered. Unfortunately, our study, though confirming the anticonvulsant effects of peripherally administered adenosine receptor agonists, does not help to resolve this long-standing controversy.

Prolonged exposure of rat brain tissues to adenosine analogs results in selective and dose-dependent desensitization of adenosine  $A_1$  receptors (Abbracchio et al., 1993). This observation is supported by in vivo data showing the loss of  $A_1$ -mediated anticonvulsant effects after repeated treatment with the adenosine  $A_1$  receptor agonist,  $N^6$ -cyclopentyl adenosine (CPA), in  $N$ -methyl-D-aspartate-evoked seizures in mice (Von Lubitz et al., 1994). Conversely, cerebral adenosine  $A_{2A}$  receptors seem to be resistant to agonist-induced desensitization (Abbracchio et al., 1993). In accordance with these observations, our study showed that repeated stimulation of the adenosine  $A_1$  receptor by CCPA leads to tolerance to anticonvulsant activity, whereas both the  $A_{2A}$  agonist, 2HE-NECA, and the non-selective agonist, NECA, maintain their protective effects against pentylentetrazole-induced seizures. In previous studies we found that, also in the cardiovascular system, repeated administration of CCPA leads to tolerance to both hypotensive and bradycardiac effects, whereas 2HE-NECA and NECA maintain their antihypertensive properties throughout the 21-day experimental period (Casati et al., 1994).

In agreement with results of previous studies (Von Lubitz et al., 1994; Casati et al., 1994), our data also showed that receptor binding parameters are not af-

fects by prolonged stimulation of adenosine receptors. Other mechanisms such as uncoupling of the second messenger system (Abbracchio et al., 1993) may well underlie the reduction of activity upon repeated stimulation of central or peripheral adenosine A<sub>1</sub> receptors.

In conclusion, our results provide evidence for the involvement of the adenosine A<sub>2A</sub> receptor, as well as the A<sub>1</sub> receptor, in the anticonvulsant activity of adenosine. Moreover, these A<sub>2A</sub>-mediated effects do not undergo adaptive changes after repeated treatment with either selective or non-selective agonists. The data available do not, however, allow us to identify the mechanisms of action by which stable adenosine analogs, interacting with the adenosine A<sub>2A</sub> receptor, exert anticonvulsant activity. In addition, this study further confirms the well known anticonvulsant activity of acute administration of adenosine A<sub>1</sub>-selective agonists, an action to which tolerance develops when the agonist is given repeatedly. Adenosine agonists do not appear to offer a potential as anticonvulsant agents because of the variety of peripheral, mainly cardiovascular, effects associated with the central action (Dunwiddie and Worth, 1982; Monopoli et al., 1994a,b). These studies help, however, to clarify the mechanisms governing the different actions of the adenosine A<sub>1</sub> compared with A<sub>2A</sub> receptors in the CNS.

## References

- Abbracchio, M.P., R. Brambilla, G.E. Rovati and F. Cattabeni, 1993, Prolonged agonist exposure induces imbalance of A<sub>1</sub> and A<sub>2</sub> receptor-mediated functions in rat brain slices, *Drug Dev. Res.* 28, 364.
- Berman, R.F., M.F. Jarvis and C.R. Lupica, 1990, Adenosine involved in kindled seizures, in: *Kindling*, Vol. 4, ed. J.A. Wada (Plenum Press, New York) p. 423.
- Brodie, M.S., K. Lee, B.B. Fredholm, L. Stahle and T.V. Dunwiddie, 1987, Central versus peripheral mediation of responses to adenosine receptor agonists: evidence against a central mode of action, *Brain Res.* 415, 323.
- Bruns, R.F., J.W. Daly and S.H. Snyder, 1980, Adenosine receptors in brain membranes: binding of *N*<sup>6</sup>cyclohexyl [<sup>3</sup>H]adenosine and 1,3-diethyl-8[<sup>3</sup>H]phenylxanthine, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 77, 5547.
- Bruns, R.F., G.H. Lu and T.A. Pugsley, 1986, Characterization of the A<sub>2</sub> adenosine receptor labeled by [<sup>3</sup>H]NECA in rat striatal membranes, *Mol. Pharmacol.* 29, 331.
- Casati, C., A. Monopoli, S. Dionisotti, C. Zocchi, E. Bonizzoni and E. Ongini, 1994, Repeated administration of selective adenosine A<sub>1</sub> and A<sub>2</sub> receptor agonists in the spontaneously hypertensive rat: tolerance develops to A<sub>1</sub>-mediated hemodynamic effects, *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 268, 1506.
- Concas, A., G. Santoro, M.P. Mascia, E. Maciocco, L. Dazzi, E. Ongini and G. Biggio, 1993, Anticonvulsant doses of 2-chloro-*N*<sup>6</sup>-cyclopentyladenosine, an adenosine A<sub>1</sub> receptor agonist, reduce GABAergic transmission in different areas of the mouse brain, *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 267, 844.
- Corradetti, R., G. Lo Conte, F. Moroni, M.B. Passani and G. Pepeu, 1984, Adenosine decreases aspartate and glutamate release from rat hippocampal slices, *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 104, 19.
- Cristalli, G., A. Eleuteri, S. Vittori, R. Volpini, M.J. Lohse and K.N. Klotz, 1992, 2-Alkynyl derivatives of adenosine and adenosine-5'-*N*-ethyluronamide as a selective agonist at A<sub>2</sub> adenosine receptors, *J. Med. Chem.* 35, 2363.
- Dragunow, M., 1991, Adenosine and epileptic seizures, in: *Adenosine and Adenine Nucleotides as Regulators of Cellular Function*, ed. J.W. Phillis (CRC Press, Boca Raton) p. 367.
- Dragunow, M., G.V. Goddard and R. Lavery, 1985, Is adenosine an endogenous anticonvulsant?, *Epilepsia* 26, 480.
- Dunwiddie, T.V., 1985, The physiological roles of adenosine in the central nervous system, *Int. Rev. Neurobiol.* 27, 63.
- Dunwiddie, T.V. and T. Worth, 1982, Sedative and anticonvulsant effects of adenosine analogs in mouse and rats, *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 220, 70.
- Durcan, M.J. and P.F. Morgan, 1989, NECA-induced hypomotility in mice: evidence for a predominantly central site of action, *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 32, 487.
- Finney, D.J., 1971, Estimation of the median effective dose, in: *Probit Analysis* (Cambridge University Press) p. 19.
- Fredholm, B.B., B. Johansson, I. Van der Ploeg, P.S. Hu and S. Jin, 1993, Neuromodulatory roles of purines, *Drug Dev. Res.* 28, 349.
- Fredholm, B.B., M.P. Abbracchio, G. Burnstock, J.W. Daly, T.K. Harden, K.A. Jacobson, P. Leff and M. Williams, 1994, Nomenclature and classification of purinoceptors, *Pharmacol. Rev.* 46, 143.
- Hutchison, A.J., R.L. Webb, H.H. Oei, G.R. Ghai, M.B. Zimmerman and M. Williams, 1989, CGS 21680C, an A<sub>2</sub> selective adenosine receptor agonist with preferential hypotensive activity, *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 251, 47.
- Janusz, C.A. and R.F. Berman, 1993, Adenosinergic modulation of the EEG and locomotor effects of the A<sub>2</sub> agonist, CGS 21680, *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 45, 913.
- Jarvis, M.F., R. Schultz, A.J. Hutchison, U.H. Do, M.A. Sills and M. Williams, 1989, [<sup>3</sup>H]CGS 21680, a selective A<sub>2</sub> receptors in rat brain, *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 251, 888.
- Klitgaard, H., L.J.S. Knutsen and C. Thomsen, 1993, Contrasting effects of adenosine A<sub>1</sub> and A<sub>2</sub> receptor ligands in different chemoconvulsive rodent models, *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 242, 221.
- Linden, J., A.L. Tucker, A.S. Robeva, S.G. Graber and R. Munshi, 1993, Properties of recombinant adenosine receptors, *Drug Dev. Res.* 28, 232.
- Lohse, M.J., K.N. Klotz, U. Schwabe, G. Cristalli, S. Vittori and M. Grifantini, 1988, 2-Chloro-*N*<sup>6</sup>-cyclopentyladenosine: a highly selective agonist at A<sub>1</sub> adenosine receptors, *Naunyn-Schmied. Arch. Pharmacol.* 337, 687.
- Lowry, O.H., N.J. Rosebrough, A.L. Farr and R.J. Randall, 1951, Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent, *J. Biol. Chem.* 193, 265.
- McBean, D.E., J.J. Grome and A.M. Harper, 1989, A cerebral vasoconstrictive effect of some adenosine analogues. A study of adenosine analogues on local cerebral blood flow and glucose utilization in the rat, *J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab.* 9, 548.
- Monopoli, A., A. Conti, S. Dionisotti, C. Casati, E. Camaioni, G. Cristalli and E. Ongini, 1994a, Pharmacology of the highly selective A<sub>1</sub> adenosine receptor agonist 2-chloro-*N*<sup>6</sup>-cyclopentyladenosine, *Arzneim.-Forsch./Drug Res.* 44, 1305.
- Monopoli, A., A. Conti, C. Zocchi, C. Casati, R. Volpini, G. Cristalli and E. Ongini, 1994b, Pharmacology of the new selective A<sub>2A</sub> adenosine receptor agonist 2-hexynyl-5'-*N*-ethylcarboxamidoadenosine, *Arzneim.-Forsch./Drug Res.* 44, 1296.
- Murray, T.F., D. Sylvester, C.S. Schultz and P. Szot, 1985, Purinergic modulation of seizure threshold for pentylentetrazol in the rat, *Neuropharmacology* 24, 761.
- Newby, A., 1984, Adenosine and the concept of 'retaliatory metabolites', *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 9, 42.

- Ribeiro, J.A., 1991, Purinergic regulation of transmitter release, in: *Adenosine and Adenine Nucleotides as Regulators of Cellular Function*, ed. J.W. Phillis (CRC Press, Boca Raton) p. 155.
- Rosen, J.B. and R.F. Berman, 1985, Prolonged postictal depression in amygdala-kindled rats by the adenosine analog, L-phenylisopropyladenosine, *Exp. Neurol.* 90, 549.
- Rovati, G.E., D. Rabin and P.J. Munson, 1991, Analysis, design and optimization of ligand binding experiments, in: *Horizons in Endocrinology*, Vol. 2, eds. M. Maggi and V. Geenen (Raven Press, New York) p. 155.
- Rudolphi, K.A., P. Schubert, F.E. Parkinson and B.B. Fredholm, 1992, Adenosine and brain ischemia, *Cerebrovasc. Brain Metab. Rev.* 4, 346.
- SAS Institute, 1987, *SAS/STAT Guide for Personal Computers*, Version 6 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) p. 549.
- Siddiqi, S.M., K.A. Jacobson, J.L. Esker, M.E. Olah, X. Ji, N. Melman, K.N. Tiwari, J.A. Secrist III, S.W. Schneller, G. Cristalli, G.L. Stiles, C.R. Johnson and A.P. IJzerman, 1995, Search for new purine- and ribose-modified adenosine analogues as selective agonists and antagonists at adenosine receptors, *J. Med. Chem.* 38, 1174.
- Swinyard, E.A., R.D. Sofia and H.J. Kupferberg, 1986, Comparative anticonvulsant activity and neurotoxicity of felbamate and four prototype antiepileptic drugs in mice and rats, *Epilepsia* 27, 27.
- Van Wylen, D.G.L., T.S. Park, R. Rubio and R.M. Berne, 1989, The effect of local infusion of adenosine and adenosine analogues on local cerebral blood flow, *J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab.* 9, 556.
- Von Lubitz, D.K.J.E., I.A. Paul, M. Carter and K.A. Jacobson, 1993, Effects of *N*<sup>6</sup>-cyclopentyladenosine and 8-cyclopentyl-1,3-dipropylxanthine on *N*-methyl-D-aspartate induced seizures in mice, *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 249, 265.
- Von Lubitz, D.K.J.E., I.A. Paul, M. Carter and K.A. Jacobson, 1994, Chronic adenosine A<sub>1</sub> receptor agonist and antagonist: effect on receptor density and *N*-methyl-D-aspartate induced seizures in mice, *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 253, 95.
- Von Lubitz, D.K.J.E., M.F. Carter, S.I. Deutsch, R.C.S. Lin, J. Mastropaolo, Y. Meshulam and K.A. Jacobson, 1995, The effects of adenosine A<sub>3</sub> receptor stimulation on seizures in mice, *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 275, 23.
- Williams, M., 1987, Purine receptors in mammalian tissues: pharmacology and functional significance, *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 27, 315.
- Williams, M., A. Braunwalder and T.E. Erickson, 1986, Evaluation of the binding of the A-1 selective adenosine radioligand, cyclopentyl-adenosine to rat brain tissue, *Naunyn-Schmied. Arch. Pharmacol.* 332, 179.
- Winn, H.R., J.E. Welsii, C. Bryner, R. Rubio and R.M. Berne, 1979, Brain adenosine production during the initial 60 seconds of bicuculline seizures in rats, *Acta Neurol. Scand.* 60, 536.
- Zhou, Q.Y., C. Li, M.E. Olah, R.A. Johnson, G.L. Stiles and O. Civelli, 1992, Molecular cloning and characterization of an adenosine receptor – the A<sub>3</sub> adenosine receptor, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 89, 7432.